	WILLIAM A. ISAACSON (<i>Pro hac vice</i>)	
1	(wisaacson@bsfllp.com)	
2	STACEY K. GRIGSBY (Pro hac vice)	
_	(sgrigsby@bsfllp.com)	
3	NICHOLAS A. WIDNELL (Pro hac vice)	
4	(nwidnell@bsfllp.com)	
4	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	2007
5	1401 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20	0005
	Telephone: (202) 237-2727; Fax: (202) 237-6131	
6	RICHARD J. POCKER, State Bar No. 3568	
7	(rpocker@bsfllp.com)	
′	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	
8	300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800, Las Vegas, NV 89101	
	Telephone: (702) 382-7300; Fax: (702) 382-2755	
9		
10	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, State Bar No. 1216	
	(djc@campbellandwilliams.com)	
11	J. COLBY WILLIAMS, State Bar. No. 5549	
12	(jcw@campbellandwilliams.com)	
12	CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South 7th Street, Las Vegas, NV 89101	
13	Telephone: (702) 382-5222; Fax: (702) 382-0540	
1.4	Telephone. (702) 382-3222, Tax. (702) 382-0340	
14	Attorneys for Defendant Zuffa, LLC, d/b/a	
15	Ultimate Fighting Championship and UFC	
1.0		
16		
17	VINVEND OF A FING A	NAMES OF STATES
1.0	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
19	Cung Le, Nathan Quarry, Jon Fitch, Brandon	Case No.: 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-BNW
-,	Vera, Luis Javier Vazquez, and Kyle	Case 110 2.13-cv-01043-141 B-D11 W
20	Kingsbury, on behalf of themselves and all	
21	others similarly situated,	DECLARATION OF BRENT K.
21	,	NAKAMURA IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT ZUFFA, LLC'S
22	Plaintiffs,	OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS'
22	V.	OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT ZUFFA,
23		LLC'S PROPOSAL TO INTRODUCE
24	Zuffa, LLC, d/b/a Ultimate Fighting	CERTAIN PURPORTED "SUMMARY EXHIBITS" AT THE HEARING
	Championship and UFC,	CONCERNING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
25	Defendant.	FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION
26	Defendant.	
-0		
27		
28		
20	I and the second	

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

I, Brent K. Nakamura, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a member in good standing of the bars of the District of Columbia and the State of California. I am admitted pro hac vice to practice before this Court. I am an Associate in the law firm Boies Schiller Flexner LLP ("BSF") and counsel for Zuffa, LLC ("Zuffa") in the abovecaptioned action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada, Le et al. v. Zuffa, LLC, No. 2:15-cv-01045-RFP-BNW.
- 2. I make this declaration in support of Defendant Zuffa, LLC's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Objections to Defendant Zuffa, LLC's Proposal to Introduce Certain Purported "Summary Exhibits" at the Hearing Concerning Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification. Based on my personal experience, knowledge, and review of the files, records, and communications in this case, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration and, if called to testify, could and would testify competently to those facts under oath.
- 3. For ease of reference, in referring to each of the summary exhibits to which Plaintiffs have objected, I use the designations Plaintiffs used in their Objections brief. For example, for Zuffa Summary Exhibit 1, I use the name "SE1" and for Exhibit 87 to Zuffa's Opposition to Class Certification, I use "COE87." Exhibit 4 to the concurrently filed Declaration of Dr. Joshua Lustig contains a full list of the names (and exhibit list numbers) I use in the "Zuffa Summary Ex." Column.
- 4. In April, 2018, Zuffa submitted COE87, COE88, and COE89 in support of its Opposition to Class Certification, ECF No. 540. On April 13, 2018, Zuffa provided back-up materials for these exhibits to Plaintiffs that included Stata .do files that allowed Plaintiffs to examine each and every step in creating the summary exhibits and permitted Plaintiffs, if they chose, to replicate the exhibits in their entirety. Plaintiffs have not previously challenged the mathematic accuracy of or moved to strike these exhibits and exhibit COE92. All of these summary exhibits were prepared at my direction.
- 5. In July, 2018, Zuffa submitted SJE87, SJE96, SJE97, SJE98, and SJE99 in support of its Motion for Summary Judgment, ECF Nos. 573-575. Plaintiffs have not previously challenged

the mathematic accuracy of or moved to strike these exhibits. On information and belief, I am aware that counsel for Zuffa directed the preparation of these exhibits.

- 6. Exhibits 7 and 8 to this Declaration are true and correct copies of emails counsel for Plaintiffs sent Zuffa on April 13, 2018 with the cellular telephone number of counsel and a password redacted and a redbox added for emphasis providing Plaintiffs with backup materials for COE87, COE88, and COE89. These backup materials included detailed Stata .do files that Plaintiffs could use to examine each step in the creation of the exhibits and replicate the exhibits in their entirety if they wanted to do so.
- 7. Exhibit 9 to this Declaration is a true and correct copy of an email exchange between Patrick Madden and myself, dated June 21, 2019, with a mobile phone number and link to an FTP redacted in which counsel for Zuffa provided Mr. Madden with the same back-up materials for COE87, COE88, and COE89 that Zuffa previously provided on April 13, 2018.
- 8. Exhibit 10 to this Declaration is a true and correct copy of excerpts of the deposition of Professor Robert Topel, taken on December 6, 2017 with redboxes added for emphasis.
- 9. On May 30, 2019, I provided Plaintiffs with access to the backup materials, including .do Stata files, used to create all of the summary exhibits that were prepared for the evidentiary hearing.
- 10. COE92 is an accurate summary of the voluminous information cited in the exhibit, which would be difficult and inconvenient for the Court or a finder of fact to evaluate without the summary because it summarizes a large number of athlete contracts.
- 11. Page 1 of SJE126 is an accurate summary of the voluminous information beginning on page 2 (and continuing for 34 more pages). The 35 pages of publicly available information, which underlie page 1 of SJE126, would be difficult and inconvenient for the Court or a finder of fact to evaluate without the summary on page 1.
- 12. SE11 is an accurate summary of the voluminous information cited in the exhibit, which would be difficult and inconvenient for the Court or a finder of fact to evaluate without the

summary because the information being summarized or excerpted is from many Zuffa-produced financial documents that contain many cells, entries, and other information across many pages.

- 13. All of the summary exhibits prepared for the evidentiary hearing were prepared at my direction.
- 14. Plaintiffs have had the opportunity to examine each of Zuffa summary exhibits SJE87, SJE96, SJE97, SJE98, SJE99, SJE114, SJE124, SJE128, and SJE131. Plaintiffs have not previously challenged the mathematic accuracy of or moved to strike these exhibits. On information and belief, I am aware that counsel for Zuffa directed the preparation of these exhibits.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing facts are true and correct. Executed this 28th day of June, 2019 in Oakland, California.

/s/ Brent K. Nakamura
Brent K. Nakamura